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Summary of the Guidelines updates

Summary of changes in the 1.2007 version of the Cervical Cancer guidelines from the 2.2006 version include:

- In the workup section, PET scan for ≥ Stage IB2 is no longer optional, but part of the workup (CERV-1).
- Primary treatment options for stage IB1 was expanded to include “radical trachelectomy for fertility preservation for lesions ≤ 2 cm + pelvic lymph node dissection ± para-aortic lymph node sampling (CERV-2).
- For stage 1A2 and stage IB1 and stage IIA (≤ 4 cm), “± para-aortic lymph node sampling” was added to radical trachelectomy and “pelvic” was added to lymph node dissection for clarification (CERV-2).
- Footnote “b”, the radiation dose range was changed from “40-60 cGy/h” to “40-70 cGy/h” (CERV-2).
- For negative nodes, “pelvic RT if combination of high-risk factors” was clarified as a category 1 recommendation (CERV-3).
- “Pelvic RT with or without concurrent cisplatin-based chemotherapy” was added for negative nodes and the chemotherapy option was clarified as a category 2B recommendation (CERV-3).
- “Brachytherapy” was added to primary treatment for negative results after surgical staging and negative results after radiologic imaging (CERV-4).
- “If clinically indicated” was added after FNA (CERV-4).
- “Brachytherapy” was added as a primary treatment option for “pelvic lymph node positive/para-aortic lymph node negative by surgical staging” (CERV-5).
- Primary treatment for “Stage IA1 with lymphovascular space invasion” present was added to ≥ Stage IA2 (CERV-7).
- For negative margins; negative imaging, “± para-aortic lymph node sampling” was added to “complete parametrectomy” and “pelvic” was added to lymph node dissection for clarification (CERV-7).
**CERV-1**

**WORKUP**

- H&P
- CBC, platelets
- Cervical biopsy, pathologic review
- Cone biopsy as indicated
- Chest x-ray, CT/MRI (optional for ≤ stage IB1)
- LFT/renal function studies
- PET scan for ≥ Stage IB2

Optional (≥ Stage IB2):
- EUA
  - cystoscopy/proctoscopy

**CLINICAL STAGE**

Stage IA1
- Stage IB1
- Stage IIA (≤ 4 cm)

Stage IA2
- Stage IBI
- Stage IIA (> 4 cm)

Stage IB2
- Stage IIB
- Stage IIIA, IIIB
- Stage IVA

Selected bulky:
- Stage IB2, IIA

Incidental finding of invasive cancer at simple hysterectomy

For suspicion of bladder/bowel involvement, cystoscopy/proctoscopy with biopsy is required.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Cervical Cancer

**CLINICAL STAGE**

**PRIMARY TREATMENT**

**Stage IA1**
- Extrafascial hysterectomy
- Observe if patient desires fertility or if inoperable (only if cone biopsy has negative margins)
- Modified radical hysterectomy + pelvic lymph node dissection
  - if lymphovascular invasion (category 2B)

**Stage IA2**
- Brachytherapy + pelvic RT (point A dose: 75-80 Gy)<sup>b</sup>
- Radical trachelectomy for fertility preservation + pelvic lymph node dissection ± para-aortic lymph node sampling

**Stage IB1** and stage IIA (≤ 4 cm)<sup>a</sup>
- Radical hysterectomy + pelvic lymph node dissection ± para-aortic lymph node sampling
- Pelvic RT + brachytherapy (point A dose: 80-85 Gy)<sup>b</sup>
- Radical trachelectomy for fertility preservation for lesions ≤ 2 cm (Stage IB1) + pelvic lymph node dissection ± para-aortic lymph node sampling

**Stage IB2 and stage IIA (> 4 cm)<sup>a</sup>**
- Radical hysterectomy + pelvic lymph node dissection + para-aortic lymph node sampling (category 2B)
- Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy (point A dose ≥ 85 Gy)<sup>b</sup> (category 1)
- Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy (point A dose 75-80 Gy)<sup>b</sup> + adjuvant hysterectomy (category 3)

---

<sup>a</sup>For suspicion of bladder/bowel involvement, cystoscopy/proctoscopy with biopsy is required.

<sup>b</sup>These doses are recommended for most patients based on summation of conventional external-beam fractionation and low-dose rate (40-70 cGy/h) brachytherapy equivalents. Modify treatment based on normal tissue tolerance.

**Note:** All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Cervical Cancer

**ADJUVANT TREATMENT**

**SURGICAL FINDINGS**

- **Negative nodes**
  - Observe or Pelvic RT if combination of high-risk factors (category 1) (ie, large primary tumor, deep stromal invasion, and/or lymphovascular space invasion) ± concurrent cisplatin-based chemotherapy (category 2B for chemotherapy)

- **Positive pelvic nodes or Positive surgical margin or Positive parametrium**
  - Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1) ± vaginal brachytherapy

- **Para-aortic lymph node positive by surgical staging**
  - **Chest CT/PET scan**
    - **Negative for distant metastasis**
      - Systemic therapy^d/ Individualized RT
    - **Positive for distant metastasis**
      - **Consider biopsy of suspicious areas as indicated**
        - **Negative**
          - Para-aortic lymph node RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + pelvic RT ± brachytherapy
        - **Positive**
          - See Surveillance (CERV-8)


dSee Chemotherapy Regimens for Cervical Cancer (CERV-A).
CLINICAL STAGE

Selected bulky Stage IB2, IIA

Stage IIB, IIA, IIIB, IVA

Imaging studies: CT, MRI and/or PET scan

Surgical staging:
Extraperitoneal or laparoscopic lymph node dissection (category 2B)

or

Radiologic imaging only

Primary Treatment

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1) + brachytherapy

Negative

Consider intraoperative RT (IORT) for bulky residual nodes

Positive

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1) + brachytherapy

Negative adenopathy

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1) + brachytherapy

Positive adenopathy

FNA if clinically indicated

See Node Status (CERV-5)

See Imaging Results (CERV-6)

Pelvic RT + brachytherapy total point A dose $\geq 85$ Gy.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
SELECTED BULKY Stage IB2, IIA;
Stage IIB, IIA, IIB, IVA

NODE STATUS

Pelvic lymph node positive/para-aortic lymph node negative by surgical staging

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1) + brachytherapy

Para-aortic lymph node positive by surgical staging

Chest CT, PET scan

Pelvic RT + para-aortic lymph node RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy

Positive for distant metastasis

Consider biopsy of suspicious areas as indicated

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy

Negative

Pelvic RT + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy

Systemic therapy Individualized RT

Positive

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Cervical Cancer (CERV-A).

Pelvic RT + brachytherapy total point A dose ≥ 85 Gy.

RT dose is 45-50 Gy to CTV.

See Surveillance (CERV-8)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Cervical Cancer

SELECTED BULKY Stage IB2, IIA
Stage IIB, IIA, IIB, IVA
IMAGING RESULTS

Pelvic node positive; Para-aortic lymph node negative

Pelvic node positive; Para-aortic lymph node positive

Distant metastases; with biopsy confirmation as clinically indicated

Positive adenopathy by CT, MRI and/or PET; FNA if clinically indicated

Pelvic RT + brachytherapy + cisplatin-containing chemotherapy ± para-aortic lymph node RT (category 1)
or Retroperitoneal lymph node dissection

Para-aortic negative

Para-aortic positive

Consider retroperitoneal lymph node dissection

Pelvic RT + brachytherapy + cisplatin-containing chemotherapy (category 1)

Extended-field RT + brachytherapy + cisplatin-containing chemotherapy

Extended-field RT + cisplatin-containing chemotherapy + brachytherapy

Systemic therapy/ Individualized RT

See Surveillance (CERV-8)

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Cervical Cancer (CERV-A).

Pelvic RT + brachytherapy total point A dose ≥ 85 Gy.

RT dose is 45-50 Gy to CTV.

See Surveillance (CERV-8)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Cervical Cancer

INCIDENTAL FINDING
OF INVASIVE CANCER
AT SIMPLE HYSTERECTOMY

Stage IA1 → Pathologic review → No lymphovascular space invasion

Pelvic RT\(^f\) + brachytherapy ± cisplatin-containing chemotherapy
or
Complete parametrectomy + pelvic lymph node dissection ± para-aortic lymph node sampling

Positive nodes
or
Positive surgical margin
or
Positive parametrium

Pelvic RT\(^f\)(para-aortic lymph node RT if para-aortic lymph node positive) + concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy ± individualized brachytherapy (if positive vaginal margin)

Positive nodes
or
Positive surgical margin
or
Positive parametrium

Pelvic RT\(^f\) vaginal brachytherapy if large primary tumor, deep stromal invasion and/or lymphovascular space invasion

Observe or
Optional pelvic RT\(^f\) vaginal brachytherapy

Positive marginals, gross residual disease, or positive imaging

Imaging negative for nodal disease

Imaging positive for nodal disease

Consider surgical debulking of grossly enlarged nodes

See Surveillance (CERV-8)

Stage IA1 with lymphovascular space invasion or ≥ Stage IA2

Optional (≥ Stage IB2):
- EUA
cystoscopy/proctoscopy\(^a\)
- PET scan
- LFT/renal function studies

Pathologic review → No lymphovascular space invasion

Negative margins; negative imaging

Imaging negative for nodal disease

Imaging positive for nodal disease

Consider surgical debulking of grossly enlarged nodes

See Surveillance (CERV-8)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.

Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.

\(^a\)For suspicion of bladder/bowel involvement cystoscopy/proctoscopy with biopsy is required.

\(^f\) RT dose is 45-50 Gy to CTV.

\(^g\)Invasive cancer at surgical margin.
SURVEILLANCE

- Interval H&P
- Pap test + visit every 3 mo for 1 y, every 4 mo for 1 y, every 6 mo for 3 y, then annually
- Chest x-ray annually (category 2B)
- CBC, BUN, creatinine every 6 mo (optional)
- CT/PET scan as clinically indicated
- Suggest use of vaginal dilator after RT

WORKUP

 Persistent or recurrent disease

- Pelvic/abdominal/chest CT/PET scan
- Surgical exploration in selected cases

See Salvage Therapy (pelvic recurrence) (CERV-9)

See Salvage Therapy (extrapelvic or para-aortic recurrence) (CERV-10)

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Guidelines Index
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SALVAGE THERAPY

No prior RT or failure outside of previously treated field

Prior RT

Central disease

Pelvic exenteration ± IORT

Pelvic exenteration or resection with IORT for close or positive margins

Pelvic exenteration or resection with IORT for close or positive margins or Tumor-directed RT ± chemotherapy or Platinum-based chemotherapy or Best supportive care (See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines) or Clinical trial

Recurrence

Recurrence

Recurrence

Platinum-based chemotherapy or Best supportive care (See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines) or Clinical trial

Pelvic recurrence

Definitive pelvic RT + platinum-based chemotherapy ± brachytherapy

Platinum-based chemotherapy or Best supportive care (See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines)

Radical hysterectomy or Brachytherapy

Noncentral disease

In carefully selected patients with small (< 2 cm) lesions

Clinical trial

Pelvic exenteration ± IORT

or

Platinum-based chemotherapy

Best supportive care

(See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines)

or

Clinical trial

See Chemotherapy Regimens for Cervical Cancer (CERV-A).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
Extrapelvic or para-aortic recurrence

Multiple sites or Unresectable → Chemotherapy \(^d\) or Best supportive care (See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines)

Isolated site → Resection \(\pm\) IORT or Tumor-directed RT + concurrent chemotherapy or Chemotherapy

RT (optional) or Adjuvant chemotherapy \(^d\) (optional) or Best supportive care (See NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines)

\(^d\) See Chemotherapy Regimens for Cervical Cancer (CERV-A).

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated.
Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMENS FOR RECURRENT OR METASTATIC CERVICAL CANCER

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First-line combination therapy&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Possible first-line single agent therapy</th>
<th>Second-line therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Cisplatin/paclitaxel (category 1)</td>
<td>• Cisplatin</td>
<td>• Docetaxel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cisplatin/topotecan (category 1)</td>
<td>• Carboplatin</td>
<td>• Ifosfamide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Cisplatin/gemcitabine (category 2B)</td>
<td>• Paclitaxel</td>
<td>• Vinorelbine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Carboplatin/paclitaxel</td>
<td>• Topotecan</td>
<td>• Irinotecan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(category 2B)</td>
<td>• Epirubicin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Mitomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• 5-FU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Preferred if cisplatin was previously used as a radiosensitizer.

Note: All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise indicated. Clinical Trials: NCCN believes that the best management of any cancer patient is in a clinical trial. Participation in clinical trials is especially encouraged.
### Staging

**International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) and Tumor-Node-Metastases (TNM) Surgical Staging Systems for Carcinoma of the Uterine Cervix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIGO Stages</th>
<th>Surgical-Pathologic Findings</th>
<th>TNM Categories</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor cannot be assessed</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No evidence of primary tumor</td>
<td>T0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcinoma in situ (preinvasive carcinoma)</td>
<td>Tis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical carcinoma confined to uterus (extension to the corpus should be disregarded)</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive carcinoma diagnosed only by microscopy. All macroscopically visible lesions—even with superficial invasion—are stage IB/T1b.</td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromal invasion 3.0 mm or less in depth and 7.0 mm or less in horizontal spread</td>
<td>T1a1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stromal invasion more than 3.0 mm and not more than 5.0 mm with a horizontal spread 7.0 mm or less†</td>
<td>T1a2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically visible lesion confined to the cervix or microscopic lesion greater than IA2/T1a2</td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically visible lesion 4.0 cm or less in greatest dimension</td>
<td>T1b1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically visible lesion more than 4.0 cm in greatest dimension</td>
<td>T1b2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor invades beyond the uterus but not to pelvic wall or lower third of the vagina</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without parametrial invasion</td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With parametrial invasion</td>
<td>T2b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor extends to pelvic wall and/or involves lower third of vagina and/or causes hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor involves lower third of vagina, no extension to pelvic wall</td>
<td>T3a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor extends to pelvic wall and/or causes hydronephrosis or nonfunctioning kidney</td>
<td>T3b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor invades mucosa of bladder or rectum, and/or extends beyond true pelvis. The presence of bullous edema is not sufficient to classify a tumor as T4</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regional Lymph Nodes (N)
- NX: Regional lymph nodes cannot be assessed
- N0: No regional lymph node metastasis
- N1: Regional lymph node metastasis

Distant Metastasis (M)
- MX: Distant metastasis cannot be assessed
- M0: No distant metastasis
- M1: Distant metastasis


†The depth of invasion should not be more than 5 mm taken from the base of the epithelium, either surface or glandular, from which it originates. The depth of invasion is defined as the measurement of the tumor from the epithelial-stromal junction of the adjacent most superficial epithelial papilla to the deepest point of invasion. Vascular space involvement, venous or lymphatic, does not affect classification.
The substantial decline in incidence and mortality of cervical cancer, in developed countries, is thought to be a result of effective screening. Persistent human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is regarded as the most important factor contributing to the development of cervical cancer. There appears to be a relationship between the incidence of cervical cancer and the prevalence of HPV in the population. The prevalence of chronic HPV in countries with a high incidence of cervical cancer is about 10% to 20%, whereas the prevalence in low-incidence countries is 5% to 10%. \(^3\) Other epidemiologic risk factors associated with cervical cancer are a history of smoking, parity, contraceptive use, early age of onset of coitus, larger number of sexual partners, history of sexually transmitted disease, and chronic immunosuppression.

By definition, the NCCN practice guidelines cannot incorporate all possible clinical variations and are not intended to replace good clinical judgment or individualization of treatments. “Many exceptions to the rule” were discussed among the members of the cervical cancer panel during the process of developing these guidelines.

**Overview**

An estimated 9,710 new cases of cervical cancer will be diagnosed in the United States in the year 2006; 3,700 deaths will result from the disease.\(^1\) Cervical cancer rates are decreasing among women in all racial and ethnic groups in the United States, although incidence remains high among Hispanic/Latina women.\(^2\) However, cervical cancer is a major world health problem for women. The global yearly incidence of cervical cancer for 2002 was 493,243; the annual death rate was 273,505. It is the third most common cancer in women worldwide;\(^3\) 78% of cases occur in developing countries, where cervical cancer is the second most frequent cause of cancer death in women.

**Diagnosis and Workup**

These NCCN guidelines discuss squamous cell carcinomas, adenosquamous carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma of the cervix. Squamous cell carcinomas account for about 80% of all cervical cancers. Neuroendocrine small cell tumors, glassy-cell carcinomas, sarcomas, and other histologic types are not within the scope of these guidelines. Currently, the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) evaluation procedures for staging are limited to colposcopy, biopsy, conization of the cervix, cystoscopy, and proctosigmoidoscopy. More complex radiologic and
surgical staging procedures are not addressed in the FIGO classification. In the United States, however, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), positron emission tomography (PET), and surgical staging are often used to guide treatment options and design.¹

The earliest stages of cervical carcinoma may be asymptomatic or associated with a watery vaginal discharge and postcoital bleeding or intermittent spotting. These early symptoms frequently go unrecognized by the patient. Because of the accessibility of the uterine cervix to the physician, cervical cytology or Papanicolaou (Pap) smears and cervical biopsies can usually result in an accurate diagnosis (see NCCN Practice Guidelines for Cervical Cancer Screening). Cone biopsy is recommended if the cervical biopsy is inadequate to define invasiveness or if accurate assessment of microinvasive disease is required.

Common radiologic tests include chest radiography, CT, MRI, or PET, although these tests are optional for patients with stage IB1 or smaller tumors. Laboratory tests (such as complete blood count, platelets, liver and renal function tests) are also recommended. Cystoscopy and proctoscopy examination under anesthesia should be reserved for patients with disease that is stage IB2 or higher, or for those in whom there is clinical concern for bladder or rectal cancer.

Panel members discussed whether laparoscopy should be included as part of these NCCN guidelines in both staging and treatment. The consensus is that the techniques are not uniformly used and remain investigational, although laparoscopic staging, lymphadenectomies, and radical hysterectomies can be performed satisfactorily and are used routinely in selected patients in several member institutions.⁵

### Staging

Because of the controversial nature of noninvasive radiographic imaging, the FIGO system limits the imaging to chest radiography, intravenous pyelography (IVP), and barium enema. The staging of carcinoma of the cervix remains largely a clinical evaluation. The guidelines panel adopted the 1994 FIGO definitions and staging system, which have been recently revised (see Table 1).⁶

Historically, FIGO has made numerous definition changes, mostly in the area of microinvasive carcinoma of the cervix. Currently, the FIGO definition of stage IA is limited to invasive cancer that can be identified only microscopically on pathology. Stage IA1 cancer includes invasive cancer with a measured invasion of the stroma of up to 3.0 mm in depth. Stage IA2 includes invasion of the stroma greater than 3.0 mm but not more than 5.0 mm in depth. For stages IA1 and IA2, the horizontal spread is less than 7.0 mm.

It is important to note that lymphatic vascular space involvement (LVSI) does not alter the FIGO classification. FIGO did not include vascular space involvement, because pathologists do not always agree on whether LVSI is present in tissue samples. Some panel members believe that the presence of LVSI should exclude the lesion from the treatment schema for stage IA1 and that these patients should be treated using stage IB1 guidelines.

The use of MRI, CT, or PET scans may aid in treatment planning but is not accepted for formalized staging purposes. In addition, FIGO has always maintained that staging is intended for comparison purposes only and not as a guide for therapy. As a result, the panel uses the FIGO definitions as the stratification system for these guidelines, although the findings on imaging studies (such as CT and MRI) are used to guide treatment options and design.
Primary Treatment

After careful clinical evaluation and staging, the primary treatment of early-stage cervical cancer is either surgery or radiation therapy (RT). A randomized Italian study compared RT alone versus radical hysterectomy and lymph node dissection. This study used adjuvant RT after surgery for women with surgical stage pT2b (which corresponds to FIGO stage IIB) or more extensive disease, less than 3 mm of uninvolved cervical stroma, and cut-through or positive nodes. Identical outcomes were noted for patients treated with radiation versus surgery, with or without postoperative radiation, but higher complication rates were noted for the combined modality approach. This study has been criticized by surgeons for its broad use of postoperative RT in the surgery arm and the high complication rate.

The treatment schema is stratified using the FIGO staging system (see Table 1). The NCCN panel reached a general agreement, based on the results of five randomized clinical trials, that RT and concurrent cisplatin-based chemotherapy (either cisplatin alone or cisplatin/5-fluorouracil [5-FU]) should be the treatment of choice for stages IIb, IIIa, IIIb, and IVa disease. Surgery is typically reserved for lower-stage disease and smaller lesions, such as stage 1A and 1B1. Of interest, the French National Federation of Cancer Centres (FNCLCC) have also updated their guidelines (Standards, Options, and Recommendations [SOR] project) by stating that chemoradiotherapy should be the standard for women with cervical cancer.

Extrafascial hysterectomy is recommended for patients with clinical stage IA1 disease; another option is modified radical hysterectomy with pelvic lymph node dissection if lymphovascular invasion is present (category 2B). However, if the patient is medically inoperable or if fertility is desired, patients with negative margins from cone biopsy could undergo observation. Stage IA2 tumors can be treated with radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymph node dissection with or without para-aortic lymph node sampling. Brachytherapy with pelvic radiation (point A dose: 75-80 Gy) is another treatment option. These doses are recommended for most patients based on summation of conventional external-beam fractionation and low-dose-rate (40-60 cGy/h) brachytherapy equivalents. Treatment should be modified based on normal tissue tolerance. For patients who desire fertility preservation, radical trachelectomy and lymph node dissection are recommended.

Among panel members, there was some disagreement about the primary approach for stage 1B2/IIA disease. Patients with stage IB or IIA tumors can be treated effectively with radical hysterectomy plus bilateral pelvic lymph node dissection with para-aortic node sampling (category 1 for stage IB1 or IIA tumors 4 cm or less; category 2B for stage IB2 or IIA tumors greater than 4 cm), or with combined pelvic radiotherapy and brachytherapy. Substantial discussion occurred about the optimal management of stage IB2 and bulky IIA (greater than 4 cm) disease. For patients with clinical stage IB2 or IIA tumors (greater than 4 cm) who are treated with radiation, concurrent cisplatin-containing chemotherapy has been shown to significantly improve patient survival. The addition of concurrent chemoradiation significantly improves progression-free and overall survival for high-risk patients with early-stage disease (those with positive lymph nodes, parametrial extension, and/or positive margins) who undergo radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy. For stage IB2 or IIA tumors (greater than 4 cm), the panel disagreed (category 3) about recommending adjuvant
hysterectomy for patients undergoing primary chemoradiation. The EORTC is currently conducting a phase III randomized trial (EORTC 55994) of neoadjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy followed by surgery compared with RT plus chemotherapy in patients with stage IB or II cervical cancer.

For patients with more advanced tumors who are undergoing primary chemoradiation, the volume of RT is critical and is guided by assessment of nodal involvement in the pelvis and para-aortic nodes. Imaging studies (CT or MRI and/or PET scan) are recommended for selected bulky stage IB2 or higher disease. However, fine-needle aspiration (FNA) is needed to confirm suspicious lymph nodes seen on radiologic imaging. Surgical staging (ie, extraperitoneal or laparoscopic lymph node dissection) is also recommended (category 2B) for these patients. If node sampling is performed and indicates positive findings, intraoperative radiotherapy (IORT) should be considered for bulky residual nodes. For patients without nodal disease or with disease limited to the pelvis only by surgical staging, treatment consists of pelvic RT with concurrent chemotherapy (category 1). However, for patients with positive para-aortic and pelvic lymph nodes, retroperitoneal lymph node dissection should be considered followed by extended-field RT, cisplatin-containing chemotherapy, and brachytherapy. Patients with positive para-aortic lymph nodes who are positive for distant metastases are treated with systemic chemotherapy and individualized RT.

Adjuvant Treatment

Adjuvant treatment is indicated after radical hysterectomy depending on surgical findings and disease stage. For small-volume tumors (4 cm or less) in stage IA2, IB1, or IIA, if lymph nodes are found negative in the surgery, patients should undergo close observation or receive pelvic radiation if deep stromal invasion or LVS1 is present. Adjuvant pelvic RT alone versus no further therapy was tested in a randomized trial (Gynecologic Oncology Group [GOG] 92) of selected patients with stage IB carcinoma of the cervix after hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy. Patients were eligible for this trial after radical hysterectomy and pelvic lymphadenectomy if they had at least two of the following risk factors: (1) greater than one-third stromal invasion; (2) capillary lymphatic space involvement; or (3) large cervical tumor diameters. Patients with positive lymph nodes or involved surgical margins were excluded. A statistically significant decrease in recurrence was found in the RT arm compared with the no additional treatment arm (15% versus 28%). Life-table analysis indicated a statistically significant (47%) reduction in risk of recurrence (relative risk = 0.53; P = .008) in the RT group. At 2 years, the recurrence-free rates were 88% for the RT group versus 79% for the no further treatment group. Patients with positive pelvic nodes, positive surgical margin, or positive parametrium should be treated with postoperative pelvic radiation with concurrent chemotherapy (category 1); vaginal brachytherapy is also indicated if the vaginal margin is positive. As previously noted, Intergroup Trial 0107 showed a statistically significant benefit of adjuvant pelvic radiation with 5-FU and cisplatin in the treatment of patients with stage IA2, IB, or IIA disease who had positive lymph nodes, positive margins, or microscopic parametrial involvement found at surgery. If para-aortic lymph nodes are found positive during surgical staging, patients must undergo further screening with chest CT or PET scan. In women who are positive for distant metastases, biopsy of suspicious areas should be considered as indicated. If all findings
are negative, patients should be treated with para-aortic lymph node RT, concurrent chemotherapy, and pelvic RT with or without brachytherapy. However, patients with positive results should be treated with systemic chemotherapy and individualized radiotherapy.

**Surveillance**

Because no definitive study or uniform agreement exists on the best method for post-treatment surveillance for cervical cancer, the panel combined the practice patterns of member institutions and issued consensus recommendations. Patient follow-up includes interval history and physical examination, with a Pap test every 3 months for 1 year, every 4 months for the second year, every 6 months for another 3 years, and then annually. Chest radiographs can be done annually (category 2B). Many of the tests remain optional, such as semiannual complete blood counts, blood urea nitrogen, and serum creatinine determinations. Patients with persistent or recurrent disease need to be evaluated using imaging studies (such as pelvic/abdominal/chest CT/PET scan) and surgical exploration in selected cases followed by salvage therapy. Use of a vaginal dilator is suggested after RT for women who wish to remain sexually active.

**Salvage Therapy**

**Local/Regional Therapy**

Patients with a localized recurrence of cervical cancer after surgery should be evaluated for salvage radiotherapy. Salvage rates of approximately 40% have been reported in such situations. For patients who experience pelvic recurrences with no prior RT or who experience recurrences outside of the previously treated field, salvage therapy includes definitive pelvic radiation and platinum-based chemotherapy with or without brachytherapy. Patients with central pelvic recurrent disease after RT should be evaluated for pelvic exenteration, with or without IORT; in carefully selected patients with small lesions (less than 2 cm), options include radical hysterectomy or brachytherapy. Surgical mortality is generally 5% or lower, with survival rates between 20% and 60%. Concomitant measures with such radical procedures include adequate rehabilitation programs dealing with the psychosocial and psychosexual consequences of the operation as well as reconstructive procedures. Women with recurrence after pelvic exenteration should be treated with platinum-based chemotherapy, best supportive care, or be enrolled in a clinical trial. Patients with isolated recurrences may benefit from surgical resection with or without IORT, tumor-directed RT with concurrent chemotherapy, or chemotherapy. Those with noncentral disease should be treated with pelvic exenteration or resection with IORT for close or positive margins, tumor-directed RT with or without chemotherapy, platinum-based chemotherapy, best supportive care, or participation in a clinical trial.

**Systemic Therapy and Palliation**

Patients with extrapelvic or para-aortic recurrence(s) at multiple sites or with unresectable recurrence(s) should be treated with platinum doublet-based chemotherapy or best supportive care. Isolated site recurrence(s) can be managed with surgical resection with or without IORT, tumor-directed RT with concurrent chemotherapy, or chemotherapy. Patients may then undergo RT (optional), adjuvant chemotherapy (optional), or best supportive care (see NCCN Palliative Care Guidelines).

The palliation of pelvic recurrences in heavily irradiated sites that are not amenable to local pain control techniques or surgical resection is an unresolved clinical issue. Such sites are generally not responsive to chemotherapy. It is clinically challenging to
adequately palliate the complications of pain and fistulae from such recurrences. Occasionally, patients may benefit from radiotherapy to a localized recurrence(s). Generally, these areas would be supraclavicular, bone metastases, or painful para-aortic nodal recurrences. Clearly, pain relief of a transient nature may be achieved in responders to chemotherapy.

Chemotherapy has a limited role in prolonging survival or improving quality of life and is recommended for patients with extrapelvic metastases or recurrent disease who are not candidates for RT or exenterative surgery. Cisplatin is generally regarded as the most active agent and is recommended as first-line chemotherapy in recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer; reported response rates are approximately 20% to 30%, with an occasional complete response.\textsuperscript{19,20} However, combination regimens (see next paragraph) are preferred if cisplatin was previously used as a radiosensitizer. Carboplatin, topotecan, and paclitaxel have also been reported to be tolerable and efficacious. Complete responses were also observed with topotecan and paclitaxel; however, topotecan is associated with more toxicity than carboplatin or paclitaxel.\textsuperscript{21-25} Therefore, palliation with single-agent cisplatin, carboplatin, paclitaxel, or topotecan is a reasonable approach in patients with recurrent disease not amenable to surgical or radiotherapeutic approaches. Other agents reported to show a partial response include ifosfamide,\textsuperscript{26,27} vinorelbine,\textsuperscript{28} irinotecan,\textsuperscript{29} epirubicin,\textsuperscript{30} mitomycin, and 5-FU. A phase II study evaluating the effectiveness of docetaxel in patients who have persistent or recurrent cervical cancer is ongoing (GOG-0127S).

Cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy regimens such as cisplatin/paclitaxel and cisplatin/topotecan have been extensively investigated in clinical studies. A randomized phase III study comparing paclitaxel and cisplatin versus cisplatin alone showed that the two-drug combination had a higher response rate (36% versus 19%) and improved progression-free survival (4.8 versus 2.8 months; $P > .001$), although no improvement was seen in median survival.\textsuperscript{31} Another randomized phase III GOG study investigated the combination of cisplatin and topotecan versus cisplatin alone in recurrent or persistent cervical cancer. In this study of 294 eligible patients, the topotecan combination regimen was shown to be superior to single-agent cisplatin with respect to overall response rate (27% versus 13%, $P = .004$), progression-free survival (4.6 versus 2.9 months; $P = .014$), and median survival (9.4 versus 6.5 months, $P = .017$).\textsuperscript{32} A phase II study assessed cisplatin and gemcitabine in patients with advanced, recurrent, or persistent cervical cancer; 17 patients were evaluated.\textsuperscript{33} The response rate was 57% in patients who had not previously received RT; there was one complete response of 14 months. Paclitaxel and carboplatin have recently been assessed for recurrent or persistent cancer of the cervix; 4 of 15 patients had complete response and 5 had partial response for an overall response rate of 60%. The median survival of all 15 patients treated was 17 months (range, 4 to 39 months).\textsuperscript{34} The combination of vinorelbine and cisplatin has also been assessed in 42 patients with recurrent or metastatic cervical cancer; the overall response rate was 48%.\textsuperscript{35} The GOG is currently conducting a phase III trial (GOG 204) assessing 4 cisplatin-doublet regimens in patients with advanced metastatic or recurrent cancer (cisplatin/paclitaxel, cisplatin/topotecan, cisplatin/gemcitabine, versus cisplatin/vinorelbine).

Biologic molecular and vaccine therapies have no established role at the present time,\textsuperscript{36,37} except in the setting of a clinical trial. Therefore, patients with refractory systemic cancer warrant a comprehensive coordinated approach involving hospice care, pain
consultants, and emotional and spiritual support, suited to the individual situation.

**In incidental cervical cancer**

A clinical scenario requiring oncologic management is the finding of invasive cervical carcinoma after simple or extrafascial hysterectomy. Workup for these patients includes history and physical examination, complete blood and platelet counts, and chest radiography or CT and MRI. For stage IB2 or higher, optional tests include cystoscopy or proctoscopy under anesthesia, PET scan, and liver and renal function studies. No definitive data exist regarding the appropriate follow-up treatment of these patients. The panel believes that a reasonable treatment schema for patients with stage 1A2 or higher tumors (pathologic findings) should be based on the status of the surgical margins. If margins are positive and imaging is negative for nodal disease, then pelvic RT and concurrent chemotherapy with or without individualized brachytherapy should be recommended.

If margins or imaging is negative in stage 1A2 or higher tumors, options include (1) pelvic RT and brachytherapy with or without cisplatin-containing chemotherapy; or (2) a complete parametrectomy with a lymph node dissection. Patients with negative lymph nodes should be observed or treated with optional pelvic radiation with (or without) vaginal brachytherapy if deep stromal invasion or LVSI has occurred. Concurrent chemoradiation is recommended for gross residual disease, positive imaging, disease in the lymph nodes or parametrium, or a positive surgical margin; individualized brachytherapy is clearly indicated for a positive vaginal margin. Stage 1A1 patients with no LVSI should undergo surveillance.

**Radiation Therapy**

The NCCN algorithm provides RT dosage recommendations. These RT dosages should not be interpreted as stand-alone recommendations, because RT techniques and clinical judgment are an essential part of developing an appropriate treatment regimen.

The external-beam doses represent the range of doses employing conventionally fractionated regimens of treatment. The brachytherapy doses used are for low-dose-rate applications (40 to 60 cGy/h), with doses to point A added to the external-beam doses to permit treatments to be compared. These doses may be modified for individual patients to provide adequate tumor coverage and to take into account normal tissue tolerances.

External-beam RT and brachytherapy techniques have improved, as well as a better understanding of the influence of overall treatment time on outcome. Optimum staging of patients to precisely delineate the primary tumor volume and draining lymph nodes, including abdominopelvic radiologic studies (CT, MRI, or PET scans), is recommended in patients with bulky or advanced-stage tumors.

**Planning Treatment Fields**

The use of three-dimensional treatment planning for both the external-beam RT fields and the brachytherapy placements may assist in customized shaping of dose distributions to ensure adequate tumor coverage in all dimensions and to minimize normal tissue exposure. The anterior field margins should include, where indicated, possible extensions of the tumor into the body of the uterus. The posterior field margins should include tumor extension into the uterosacral ligament and presacral lymph nodes. Lateral field margins need to adequately include the pelvic lymph nodes.
IMRT is becoming more widely used; however, its role in the primary management of gynecologic cancer is undefined.

For lesions in the lower one third of the vagina, the inguinal lymph nodes need to be treated. The use of extended-field radiation to treat occult or macroscopic para-aortic lymph node disease needs to be carefully planned to ensure adequate dose (45 Gy for microscopic disease) without exceeding bowel, spinal cord, or renal tolerances. Intracavitary or interstitial brachytherapy techniques have proven to be a vital component in treatment of invasive cervical tumors. This is particularly true for more advanced stages of disease.

Initial radiation treatment of 40 Gy to the whole pelvis is often necessary to obtain tumor shrinkage to permit optimal intracavitary placements. With low-dose-rate intracavitary systems, total doses from brachytherapy and external-beam radiation to point A of at least 80 Gy are currently recommended for small tumors, with doses of at least 85 Gy recommended for larger tumors.

**Minimizing Tissue Damage**

Adjustments must be made to minimize radiation doses to normal surrounding tissues (eg, bladder, rectum, and sigmoid colon). Coned-down shaped boost fields should be used with involved pelvic lymph nodes and areas of parametrial extension. These regions should be treated with total doses of 60 to 65 Gy. Individualized central blocking techniques should be used to shield from the intracavitary placements those portions of the small bowel, rectum, and bladder that had been included in the high-dose regions. Similar recommendations apply to high-dose-rate intracavitary systems, for which a wide range of treatment regimens have been used (generally using between three and six fractions, with doses usually between 5 and 10 Gy per fraction). Dose modifications may be needed for patients who will undergo hysterectomy or for postoperative treatment.

Several, but not all, retrospective analyses have suggested an adverse effect of prolonged treatment duration on outcome. Extending the overall treatment beyond 6 to 8 weeks can result in approximately a 0.5% to 1% decrease in pelvic control and cause-specific survival for each extra day of overall treatment time. Thus, the entire RT course should be completed in a timely fashion (eg, less than 8 weeks) and delays or splits in the radiation treatment should be avoided whenever possible, although no prospective randomized trials have been done.

**Concurrent Chemoradiation**

Five randomized phase III trials have shown a statistically significant benefit of concurrent cisplatin–based chemoradiation for advanced cervical cancers (see **Table 2**). These 5 trials have shown that the use of concurrent chemoradiation results in a 30% to 50% decrease in the risk of death compared to RT alone. Although the optimal concurrent chemotherapy regimen to use with RT requires further investigation, these 5 trials have clearly established a role for concurrent cisplatin-based chemoradiation. For concurrent chemoradiation, the currently accepted regimens are cisplatin alone (weekly) or cisplatin combined with infusion 5-FU on an every 3 to 4 week basis. Use of 5-FU alone (with RT) is not an optimal regimen.

Peters and colleagues in the Intergroup Trial INT-0107 (SWOG-8797) investigated the value of postoperative pelvic RT with or without 5-FU and cisplatin for the treatment of stages IIA, IB, and IIB cervical cancer with positive lymph nodes, positive margins, or microscopic parametrial involvement at the time of surgery. The 4-year progression-free survival was significantly improved with the...
use of radiation plus chemotherapy, compared with RT alone (81% versus 63%, respectively; \( P = .01 \)). The relative risk of death was reduced by 50% for the group receiving adjuvant 5-FU and cisplatin in conjunction with radiation.

Keys and colleagues in the GOG Trial 123 studied the use of cisplatin as an adjunct to RT in patients who subsequently underwent extraperitoneal hysterectomies. The study included patients with bulky stage IB tumors that were 4 cm or more in diameter or barrel-shaped in configuration. The 3-year survival rates were 83% for the radiation plus cisplatin plus hysterectomy group compared with 74% for the radiation plus hysterectomy group. The addition of cisplatin resulted in a relative risk of death of 0.54.\(^{12}\)

Rose and colleagues in the GOG Trial 120 investigated the use of standard pelvic radiation with one of three concurrent chemotherapy regimens---hydroxyurea alone, cisplatin alone, or cisplatin plus 5-FU plus hydroxyurea---in patients with stage IIB, III, or IVA cancer and negative para-aortic lymph nodes. The 3-year survival rate in both cisplatin-containing treatment arms was 65%, compared with 47% for the pelvic radiation plus hydroxyurea treatment group. The relative risk of death was 0.61 for pelvic radiation plus cisplatin, and 0.58 for cisplatin plus 5-FU plus hydroxyurea plus pelvic radiation, compared with patients treated with pelvic radiation plus hydroxyurea alone.\(^{40}\)

Morris and colleagues in the RTOG Trial 9001 assessed pelvic plus para-aortic radiation compared with pelvic radiation plus 5-FU plus cisplatin treatment in patients with stage IIB to IVA cervical cancer and in patients with stage IB or IIA disease with tumors 5 cm or larger or with metastases to the pelvic lymph nodes. The 5-year survival rate for the cisplatin treatment arm was 73%, compared with 58% for patients treated with pelvic plus para-aortic radiation (\( P = .004 \)). The addition of chemotherapy resulted in a relative risk of death of 0.52.\(^{13}\) After 8 years, the overall survival was still significantly greater for patients receiving concomitant cisplatin treatment (67% versus 41%, \( P < .0001 \)).\(^{41}\)

Whitney and colleagues (GOG Trial 85) also showed a significant benefit for the concurrent use of cisplatin-based chemotherapy.\(^{42}\) Patients enrolled in this study had stage IIB to IVA cervical cancer with surgically staged negative para-aortic lymph nodes. These patients were randomly assigned to either pelvic radiation with concurrent hydroxyurea or pelvic radiation with cisplatin plus 5-FU. A statistically significant improvement in the 3-year survival rate was noted for the cisplatin-containing regimen (67% versus 57%), resulting in a relative risk of death of 0.72.

**Summary**

Cervical cancer is decreasing in the United States, because screening has been widely used; however, cervical cancer is increasing in developing countries (about 270,000 deaths/year), because screening is not available to many women. Effective treatment for cervical cancer (including surgery, concurrent chemoradiation) can yield cures in 80% of women with early-stage disease (stages I and II) and in 60% of women with stage III disease. Hopefully, immunization against HPV (using the new vaccine,\(^{43}\) which may be approved by the Food and Drug Administration [FDA] in 2006) will prevent persistent infection with HPV and thus prevent cervical cancer in women.
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Table 2:
Estimates of the Relative Risk of Death in Five Clinical Trials of Concurrent Chemotherapy and Radiotherapy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>FIGO Stage</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Comparison Group</th>
<th>Relative Risk of Death in Comparison Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keys et al.</td>
<td>IB2</td>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus weekly cisplatin</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose, Bundy,</td>
<td>IIB-IVA</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus weekly cisplatin</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watkins et al.</td>
<td></td>
<td>hydroxyurea</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus weekly cisplatin and hydroxyurea</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris et al.</td>
<td>IB2-IVA</td>
<td>Extended-field radiotherapy</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus cisplatin and fluorouracil</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whitney et al.</td>
<td>IIB-IVA</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus cisplatin and fluorouracil</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peters et al.</td>
<td>IB or IIA</td>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Radiotherapy plus cisplatin and fluorouracil</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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